ISRAEL PARSONS.

[To accompany bill H. R. No. 165.]

MARCH 5, 1840.

Mr. CARR, from the Committee on Revolutionary Pensions, made the following

REPORT:

The Committee on Revolutionary Pensions, to whom was referred the petition of Israel Parsons, of Granville, in the State of Massachusetts, for a pension, respectfully report:

That the petitioner has proved a military service in the war of the revolution, entitling him, in the opinion of your committee, to a pension as a private soldier, under the law of June 7, 1832, for the period of nine months.

He has also satisfied the committee that he rendered other valuable public services in a military capacity, for several years, during the same war, for which a pension cannot be allowed by the provisions of any existing law.

For all these services the petitioner was placed upon the pension-roll, under the law of 1832, at the rate of \$180 per annum, which he received

up to September, 1835, when he was stricken from the roll.

Under all the circumstances of the case, your committee recommend that the petitioner be allowed a pension of thirty dollars a year, from the 4th day of September, 1835, without any abatement on account of pension-money heretofore paid to him; and they ask leave to report a bill accordingly.

Blair & Rives, printers.

Substitut and sworn the Ett day of Friendly, 1836, Informet, STheritish MAOK,

A do hereby territy disCONSTAPLICATION with Abelian Shout with assess, and entitled to tested.

.0181 .5 HOTAMORIUS COURT Conculssion

Francist S. 1834

Mr. CARR, from the Committee on Revolutionary Pensions, made the

REPORT:

The Committee on Revolutionary Pensions, to whom was referred the petition of Israel Parsons, of Gramville, in the State of Massachusells, for a pension, respectfully report:

That the petitioner has proved a military service in the war of the revolution, entitling him, in the opinion of your committee, to a pension as a private soldier, under the law of June 7, 1832, for the period of nine regular.

He has also satisfied the convnittee that he rendered other valuable public services in a military capacity, for several years, during the same war, for which a pension cannot be sliowed by the provisions of any existing law.

For all these services the petitioner was placed upon the pension-roll, under the law of 1832, at the rate of \$180 per annum, which he received up to September 1835, when he was stricken from the roll.

Under all the circumstances of the case, year committee recommend that the petitioner, he allowed a pension of thirty dollars a year, from the 4th day of September, 1835, without any abatement on account of pension money heretofore paid to him; and they ask leave to report a bill accordingly.

Blair & Rives, srinters.